

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). I	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/857,288	/857,288 10/01/2001		Christer Landberg	53863-64312	9688
466	7590	12/13/2004		EXAMINER	
	& THOME		LEE, CHI HO A		
2ND FLO		IKEEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGT	ON, VA	22202	2663		

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ø				
		09/857,288	LANDBERG ET AL.					
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u></u>				
		Andrew Lee	2663					
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess				
THE MAILING DATE OF T - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the material of the period for reply specified about 16 NO period for reply is specified all Failure to reply within the set or extension	HIS COMMUNICATION. e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. iling date of this communication. ve is less than thirty (30) days, a reply oove, the maximum statutory period pended period for reply will, by statute er than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on <u>01 O</u>	ctober 2001.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL		action is non-final.						
3) Since this application	n is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the n	nerits is				
closed in accordance	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are	pending in the application.			•				
, , ,	m(s) is/are withdrav							
5) Claim(s) is/ar								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are								
7) Claim(s) is/ard	•	·						
'	subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers								
_	hiected to by the Evamine	ar.		·				
· ·	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
•	• -	•	, ,	1 4 40474)				
<u> </u>	· · ·	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	-					
in in the oath of declaration	on is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9	•						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * 1.⊠ Certified copie 2.□ Certified copie	c) None of: s of the priority document s of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No	tono				
*/^\	· ·	rity documents have been receive	o in uns ivational Si	ıaye				
` '	m the International Bureau iled Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ad.					
Gee the attached deta	ned Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot receive	i u.					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PT	O-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
 Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/01/01</u> 	nt(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-1	52)				

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:

"the frame length" should be – a frame length -. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-4, 6-14, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re Claim 1 recites "<u>essentially</u> to the times in terms of time slots". It should be deleted because it is unclear whether "time slot" are used to for the scheduling interval.

Same reasoning for Claims 6, 14, 16, 17

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shaffer et al U.S. Patent Number 5,960,001.

Art Unit: 2663

Re Claims 1, 6, fig. 2 teaches plurality of network devices 106, 108 coupled to the Network Controller 101 (central node) over 102 (a shared medium). Network Controller /Reservation Server (scheduler) receives voice or data requests (delay sensitive and non-sensitive traffic) from the network devices and allocates time slots, wherein the time slots are inherently allocated based on availability (issuing a permit). When the network device requests a voice channel (delay sensitive traffic service) of 64 Kbps, the Network Controller allocates a predetermined number of time slots (scheduling interval) by knowing the required handling delay to build the data into the frame (assembling a data packet) and allocating the assigned time slots to be sent every 100 msec (elapsed time/a future time slots) (See fig. 1A & col. 3, lines 55 + col. 6, lines 1-32).

Re Claim 2, refer to Claim 1, wherein the Ethernet Frame has a predetermined frame length (a frame length of the traffic service).

Re Claims 3, 5, refer to Claim 1, See fig. 1B, wherein the data messages (non-delay sensitive data) are allocated in free time slots after the reserved time slot of the voice channel and within every T period (indicates that no scheduling interval has elapsed).

Re Claims 7, 8, 10, refer to Claim 2, wherein the predetermined Ethernet Frame has 800 bytes corresponding to Markers X, Y number of time slots (a plurality of storage cells) (See fig. 1a, 1b) for the voice/data channel in the shared medium.

Re Claim 9, refer to Claim 6, wherein the time slots are accessed cyclically in each T (See fig. 1a).

Art Unit: 2663

Re Claim 11, wherein each network devices includes both a ISOCHRO and NON-ISCHR controllers (scheduling means comprises several cyclical schedulers) for controlling different traffic services for allocating slots with the T of fig. 2b (same scheduling terminal) (See col. 5, lines 53 +).

Re Claim 12, wherein the Markers X, Y correspond to the number of time slots (has a length in cells) with interval T (the scheduling interval).

Re Claim 13, the Network Controller 101 inherently has queues (queue recording means) for storing frames (packet queue size) non-delay sensitive data traffic awaiting transmission.

Re Claims 14, 16, 17 refer to Claims 2, 7 13, wherein the Ethernet Frame has information payload and each network node has a reservation chart (consulting the cells of the scheduling means).

Re Claims 15, 18, 19, 20, refer to Claim 13, 16, wherein within each T, the same number of time slots are allocated with markers X and Y (See fig. 1b) relating to voice and data channels.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaffer et al U.S. Patent Number 5,960,001.

Application/Control Number: 09/857,288 Page 5

Art Unit: 2663

Re Claim 4, refer to Claim 3, See fig. 1B, within each interval the voice data traffic is allocated first and then the data traffic. Shaffer fails to explicitly teach allocating with "alternating priority". However, one skilled in the art knows the voice traffic is delay sensitive has higher priority over non-sensitive data traffic. Hence, one skilled in the art would have been motivated to assign higher priority traffic first to maintain to quality of the voice channel (scheduled traffic services with alternating priority). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled to locate lower priority non-delay sensitive data traffic to available time slot after higher priority traffic is allocated in the same transmission interval.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-3130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 571-571-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2663

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

12/05/04

PATENT EXAMINER